

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.G. 20231

			www.uspto.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,975	10/11/2001	Robert W. Casey	RWC 01065 9812		
7:	590 10/04/2002				
JAMES RAY & ASSOCIATES 2640 PITCAIRN ROAD MONROEVILLE, PA 15146			EXAMINER		
			AMARANTIDES, JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/973,975 W. CASEY, ROBERT		-	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		John Amarantio	les	2875	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
THE N - Exten after: If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how by within the statutory mi will apply and will expire to, cause the application	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-f	inal.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				nerits is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	າ.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	ration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.		
	on Papers				
<i>'</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		_		
10)⊠ 7	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 October 2001</u> is/are:			•	
🗖 -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)∐ 1	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.	
40) 🗆 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		tion.		
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Butee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		age
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
•	☐ The translation of the foreign language process	• •			
Attachment					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-15	
.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/973,975

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Page 2, line 11, insert the word "can" between "that" and "be".
 - b. Page 4, line 13, change "second means (14)" to "first means (14)".
 - c. Page 4, line 14, change "an" to "and".
 - d. Page 4, line 17, change "second means (18)" to "first means (14)".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demshki,
 Jr. (US006004005A) in view of N. Meyerowitz (US002979605).
 - a. In regard to claim 1, 6, and 8 12, Demshki, Jr. in Figure 1 discloses a track lighting fixture (10) with a fixture track (14) for securing the lighting system to a surface, a projection (bracket) assembly (46) with lock (50) to secure the cylindrical housing (16) containing the transformer and power leads to illuminate a plurality of incandescent lamps (MR16) in fixtures (12) to the track (14), which also contains 2 hot and 2 common electrical contacts (52). Demshki, Jr. does not disclose a rod for securing the lighting system to an inner surface of a fireplace. N. Meyerowitz in Figures 1, 2 and 4 discloses

Application/Control Number: 09/973,975

Art Unit: 2875

an expandable tubular post member (10) with rods (32), thumb screw (64), boss (68) with tapered hole (collar), pads (34) and expandable-coiled spring (50). N. Meyerowitz does not disclose for use in a fireplace. Nevertheless, it is old and well known that lighting tracks and rods come in various shapes and colors and they can be mounted on walls, ceilings or between walls and ceilings such as in a fireplace. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to use the tubular post member (10) with rods (32), thumb screw (64), boss (68) with tapered hole (collar), pads (34), expandable coiled spring (50) of N. Meyerowitz in place of the fixture track (14) of Demshki, Jr. to support the lighting system between two walls in the fireplace to create a soothing fireplace ambiance that is aesthetically pleasing to the viewers in a room.

- b. In regard to claims 2, 3 and 5, Demshki, Jr. in the Abstract identifies electrical power, but not 110-volt power. Nevertheless, it is old and well known that residential power as well as commercial office space (power outlets) power is 110 volt. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to use 110 volt electric power to illuminate the track lighting system of Demshki, Jr. in order to be able to illuminate the fireplace to create a soothing fireplace ambiance that is aesthetically pleasing to the viewers in a room.
- c. In regard to claims 4 and 7, Demshki, Jr. in Column 3, lines 15 18 discloses that any combination of decorative inserts and lamp bulbs can be interchanged. Demshki, Jr. does not specifically disclose colored bulbs or a flasher arrangement to create a twinkle

Application/Control Number: 09/973,975

Art Unit: 2875

Page 4

effect. Nevertheless, it is old and well known that colored bulbs are sold in department and hardware stores, where ballasts are also sold to vary voltage to a bulb to create a twinkle effect. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to use colored bulbs or a ballast to create a twinkle effect with the track lighting system of Demshki, Jr. in order to be able to illuminate the fireplace to create a soothing fireplace ambiance that is aesthetically pleasing to the viewers in a room.

- 4. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demshki, Jr. (US006004005A) in view of N. Meyerowitz (US002979605) as applied to claims 1, 8 and 12 above, and further in view of T. A. Stiffel (US002965751).
 - a. In regard to claims 13 and 14, N. Meyerowitz in Figure 1 discloses pads (34), but does not specifically discloses elastomeric or rubber pads. T. A. Stiffel in Figure 7 discloses a rubber foot (pad) member (60). Nevertheless, it is old and well known to pad furnishing legs to protect surfaces from getting scratched. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to use the elastomeric rubber pads of T. A. Stiffel with the tubular post lighting system of N. Meyerowitz in order to protect surfaces from getting scratched.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to track lighting systems:
 - a. US006059582A to Tsai

Art Unit: 2875

US005772315A to Shen b.

US005455754A to Hoffner C.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to John Amarantides whose telephone number is 703-305-4013. The examiner can normally be reached 8:00am-4:30pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandy O'Shea can be reached on 703-305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-8303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

September 30, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800